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ACTION SHEET

On 26 May 2015, under the silence procedure, the Council noted the IBAN report IBA-AR(2015)01 and agreed the RPPB recommendation regarding public disclosure contained in the RPPB note attached to C-M(2015)0036.

(Signed) Alexander Vershbow
Deputy Secretary General

NOTE: This Action Sheet is part of, and shall be attached to C-M(2015)0036.
Note by the Deputy Secretary General

1. I attach the International Board of Auditors for NATO (IBAN) report on the audit of the financial statements of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management (NAGSMO) for the year ended 31 December 2013. The audit report sets out an unqualified opinion.

2. The IBAN report was brought to the attention of the Resource Policy and Planning Board (RPPB).

3. In line with the agreed policy in PO(2015)0052, the RPPB recommends to Council that the IBAN report on the audit of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management (NAGSMO) for the year 2013, together with the related financial statements, be made available to the public.

4. I consider that no further discussion regarding this report is required. Consequently, unless I hear to the contrary by 18:00 hours on Tuesday, 26 May 2015, I shall assume that the Council has noted the IBAN report IBA-AR(2015)01 and agreed the RPPB recommendation regarding public disclosure contained in the enclosed RPPB note.

(Signed) Alexander Vershbow
RESOURCE POLICY AND PLANNING BOARD

IBAN REPORT AND LETTER OF OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON
THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF NATO ALLIANCE GROUND
SURVEILLANCE MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION (NAGSMO) ENDED 31 DECEMBER
2013

Note by the Chairman

References: IBA-A(2015)57
            IBA-AR(2014)01

1. Council agreed (PO(2015)0052) that, as of the reporting year 2013, all unclassified
   IBAN reports, together with any related financial statements, should be disclosed to the
   public, based on a case-by-case agreement by the Council on the basis of a
   recommendation by the RPPB.

2. The IBAN issued an unqualified audit opinion on the 2013 audit of the NAGSMO
   and the unqualified audit report will be forwarded to Council for notation, as per agreed
   procedures.

3. The NAGSMO Financial Controller has reviewed the financial statements in
   accordance with agreed policies (PO(2015)0052) and the NAGSMO BOD does not have
   any issues which it wishes to highlight for consideration by the RPPB before it concludes
   its recommendations to Council.

4. The RPPB is invited to confirm that the subject audit report does not contain
   information which, according to the NATO Policy on Public Disclosure of NATO
   Information, shall be withheld from public disclosure, and in line with the agreed policy in
   PO(2015)0052, to recommend that Council agree to the public disclosure of the IBAN
   report IBA-A(2015)57, the related financial statements and other component parts.
5. I do not believe it is necessary for the RPPB to discuss this matter at a meeting and accordingly agreement will be assumed unless comments to the contrary are received by 18:00 on Thursday, 2 April 2015.

(Signed) Giorgio Romano
Summary Note for Council
by the International Board of Auditors for NATO (Board)
on the audit of the Financial Statements
of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation
(NAGSMO)
for the year ended 31 December 2013

The Board audited the Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2013. The NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO) was created within NATO for the acquisition of the Alliance Ground Surveillance (AGS) Core System. NAGSMO is responsible for the overall management of the AGS programme. It comprises a Board of Directors (BoD) and Working Groups with representatives from the participating Nations. It also comprises a NATO Management Agency led by a General Manager.

The budgetary expenditure for the administrative budget in 2013 was EUR 7.6 million. The budgetary expenditure operational budget in 2013 was EUR 92 million and USD 196.5 million.

The Board issued an unqualified opinion on the 2013 Financial Statements and on compliance for the year ended 31 December 2013.

During the audit, the Board also made two observations and two recommendations. These findings are summarised in the Letter of Observations and Recommendations (Annex 3).

The main findings are:

- NAGSMO does not have its own internal audit service. As a result, no internal audit activities were performed within NAGSMO in 2013.

- Transfers of the operational budget made after the mid year review were not approved according to the NAGSMO FMD.
27 February 2015

INTERNATIONAL BOARD OF AUDITORS FOR NATO

AUDITOR’S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF

THE NATO ALLIANCE GROUND SURVEILLANCE MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION

(NAGSMO)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2013
REPORT OF THE INTERNATIONAL BOARD OF AUDITORS
FOR NATO TO THE NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL

Report on the Financial Statements

The International Board of Auditors for NATO (Board) audited the accompanying financial statements of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO), which comprised the Statement of Financial Position as at 31 December 2013, and the Statement of Financial Performance, Statement of Changes in Net Assets/Equity and Cash Flow Statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes. The Board also audited the Budget Execution Statements for the year ended 31 December 2013.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the NATO Accounting Framework and the requirements of the NATO Financial Regulations as authorized by the North Atlantic Council (NAC). This responsibility includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit, which is conducted in accordance with our Charter and international standards on auditing. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and presentation of financial statements is considered in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used, the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
Opinion on Financial Statements

In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NAGSMO as of 31 December 2013, and of its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with the NATO Accounting Framework.

Report on Compliance

Management’s Responsibility for Compliance

In addition to the responsibility for the preparation and presentation of the financial statements described above, management is also responsible for ensuring that the financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the NATO Financial Regulations and the NATO Civilian Personnel Regulations as authorised by the North Atlantic Council (NAC).

Auditor’s Responsibility

In addition to the responsibility to express an opinion on the financial statements described above, our responsibility includes expressing an opinion on whether the financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are, in all material respects, in compliance with the NATO Financial Regulations and the NATO Civilian Personnel Regulations. This responsibility includes performing procedures to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the funds have been used for the settlement of authorised expenditure and whether their operations have been carried out in compliance with the financial and personnel regulations in force. Such procedures include the assessment of the risks of material non-compliance.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Opinion on Compliance

In our opinion, in all material respects the financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the NATO Financial Regulations and the NATO Civilian Personnel Regulations.

Brussels, 27 February 2015

Dr Charilaos Charisis
Chairman
27 February 2015

INTERNATIONAL BOARD OF AUDITORS FOR NATO

LETTER OF OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FOR THE NATO ALLIANCE GROUND SURVEILLANCE MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION

(NAGSMO)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2013
Introduction

The International Board of Auditors for NATO (Board) audited the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO) Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2013, and issued an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and an unqualified opinion on compliance.

Observations and Recommendations

During the audit, the Board identified two observations and provided two recommendations:

- NAGSMA does not have its own internal audit service. As a result, no internal audit activities were performed within NAGSMO in 2013.

- Transfers of the operational budget made after the mid year review were not approved according to the NAGSMO FMD.

The Board also followed up on the status of the observation from previous years’ audit and noted that it has been settled.

The Board also issued a separate Management Letter (reference IBA-AML(2015)01 to NAGSMA management with findings related to the human resources, advances and bank reconciliations.

NAGSMO provided no formal comments on this Letter of Observations and Recommendations.
OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **NO INTERNAL AUDITS**

Reasoning

1.1 An adequately funded, properly trained and clearly mandated internal audit function is an important element of internal control. Such a function will allow a body to better understand its own processes and identify areas of operational and financial risk.

Observation

1.2 NAGSMA does not have its own internal audit service. As a result, no internal audit activities were performed in 2013.

Recommendation

1.3 The Board recommends NAGSMA ensures that internal audits are performed on a regular basis.

2. **TRANSFERS BETWEEN ITEMS IN CHAPTER TO BE APPROVED ACCORDING TO NAGSMO FMDP**

Reasoning

2.1 According to the Article 10 of the NAGSMO Financial Management Procedures Document (FMDP), “The Financial Controller may execute transfers of budgetary credits, between chapters and items of a chapter subject to the annual amount so transferred not exceeding the equivalent of financial level D shown in IPR XVIII a.2.”

2.2 The financial limit of discretionary powers for level D in 2013 was EUR 156,800.

Observation

2.3 The NAGSMO FMDP does not specify who should approve transfers above financial level D. For the operational budget in 2013, NAGSMA made significant transfers after the mid-year review in the millions of Euro. The NAGSMA General Manager also approved these transfers, although no documentation of the approval of the transfers was provided to the Board.
Recommendation

2.4 The Board recommends that the NAGSMO FMPD be specific on who should approve transfers above financial level D. Furthermore, when the NAGSMA General Manager approves such transfers, there should be detailed and timely documentation of such approvals.
FOLLOW-UP OF PREVIOUS YEARS’ OBSERVATIONS

The Board reviewed the status of the observations and recommendations arising from the previous audits. The observations and their status are summarised in the table below.

### Status of previous years’ observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBSERVATION / RECOMMENDATION</th>
<th>ACTION TAKEN</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Report FY 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA-AR(2013)37, paragraph 4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements needed to financial statement disclosures of the entity acting as agent on behalf of Nations and additional information to assist users in assessing the performance of the entity.</td>
<td>Additional information was presented in the 2013 financial statements.</td>
<td>Observation Settled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendation**

The Board recommended that in line with the new accounting policy considering that NAGSMO/NAGSMA are acting as agents on behalf of the Nations, NAGSMA to specifically disclose in the notes to the financial statements that the entity is an agent and the accounting policies and treatment resulting from this. This should also include NAGSMA’s reasons for why the accumulated work-in-progress is not an asset of NAGSMO.

While NAGSMA provides much detailed information on entity and programme performance to the Board of Directors, the Board recommends that NAGSMA consider how best to disclose, in future financial statements, performance indicators and other information about the entity’s and the programme’s achievements during the reporting period. This can be done through an attached note or annex to the financial statements.

Additional information was presented in the 2013 financial statements.
NAGSMO
2013
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
These financial statements represent the consolidated activities of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO) and its executive body NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Agency (NAGSMA).

**THE NATO ALLIANCE GROUND SURVEILLANCE PROGRAMME**

NAGSMO is acquiring as an agent a NATO owned and operated Alliance Ground Surveillance (AGS) system that will give commanders an up-to-date picture of the situation on the ground throughout a wide area of interest. The AGS Core will be compliant with NATO STANAGs in order to ensure interoperability with interoperable national and NATO systems.

The AGS Core capability will enable the Alliance to perform persistent surveillance over wide areas from high-altitude, long-endurance, unmanned air platforms operating at considerable stand-off distances and in any weather or light condition. Using advanced radar sensors, the AGS Core will continuously detect and track moving objects throughout the observed areas, as well as providing radar imagery of areas and stationary objects.

The NAGSMO and its executive body NAGSMA are responsible for the procurement of the NATO AGS Core capability. The NAGSMA was established in September 2009, after all Participating Nations signed the AGS Programme Memorandum of Understanding.

In late 2011, NAGSMA completed negotiations of the AGS Core procurement contract with the established industry team. The contract was subsequently submitted to the Participating Nations for formal staffing and approval, in turn leading to AGS Programme contract award, which was signed on 20 May 2012 in the margins of the NATO Summit in Chicago. In parallel, all 28 NATO nations negotiated the AGS Programme’s practical funding solution for the related Capability Package for the future operations and support of the system.

**THE AGS CORE**

The AGS Core will be an integrated system consisting of an air segment, a ground segment and a support segment.

The air segment will be based on the Block 40 version of the US RQ-4B Global Hawk high-altitude, long-endurance unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). The UAV will be equipped with the state-of-the-art Multi-Platform Radar Technology Insertion Program (MP-RTIP) ground surveillance sensor, and also with an extensive suite of communications and data links. The air segment will also include the ground-based UAV control stations, which will be located at the AGS Main Operating Base (MOB) at Sigonella Air Base, Italy.

The ground segment will consist of deployable ground stations in mobile and transportable configurations, and dedicated mission operations support facilities at the MOB. The ground segment entities will provide data link connectivity, data processing and exploitation capabilities, and interoperable interfaces between the AGS Core System and a wide range of
NATO and national Command, Control, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance systems. This will enable the AGS Core to interconnect with and provide data to multiple deployed and non-deployed operational users in a flexible, timely and effective manner.

The AGS support segment provides items needed to train the air and ground segment operators and maintainers, to maintain the AGS System at its main operating base, and to enable maintenance and repair during deployed operations.

The AGS Core System will be supplemented by interoperable national airborne ground surveillance systems from NATO countries, as part of NATO’s broader Joint, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance capability.

**NATO ALLIANCE GROUND SURVEILLANCE MANAGEMENT ORGANISATION**

The NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO) is a NATO body

- created within the framework of NATO for the acquisition of the AGS Core System and established by the North Atlantic Council pursuant to Article IX of the North Atlantic Treaty and within the meaning of the Agreement on the Status of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, National Representatives and International Staff, signed in Ottawa 20 September 1951;
- to which the North Atlantic Council grants, within the framework of the NATO, a clearly defined organisational, administrative and financial status as specified below; and
- established with a view to meeting the NATO requirement, by Bulgaria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Germany, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Norway, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, and the United States of America in the field of implementation of the NATO AGS Programme described in the AGS Programme Memorandum of Understanding (PMOU).

In June 2010, Denmark announced her intent to withdraw from the AGS Programme, a process that under the terms of the PMOU came into effect on 31 March 2011. Subsequently, in July 2011, Canada also announced her intent to withdraw, effective as of 30 April 2012. Later in 2012 Denmark announced her interest and intent to re-join the AGS Programme. Denmark re-joined the AGS Programme in December 2012. Poland announced to the NAGSMO Board of Directors their interest in joining the AGS Programme in August 2012. An amendment to the PMOU was sent to Participating Nations for signature in August 2013. Poland signed the Amendment 1 to the AGS PMOU in April 2014. With the signature of all of the Participating Nations the Amendment 1 will come into effect. As of today, NAGSMA is expecting final signature on the Amendment 1 from one Participating Nation.

The principal task of the NAGSMO is to ensure the planning and implementation of a harmonised AGS Programme, which satisfies the operational requirements, in accordance
with the provisions of the PMOU, and with due regard to the NAGSMO Member States’ views on political, economic, industrial and technological factors. NAGSMO shall assume the functions of overall management of the AGS Programme, including:

- overall governance of the AGS Programme;
- general administration of the NAGSMA and procurement of the AGS Core System;
- examination of all issues that might impact the AGS Programme;
- coordination, as necessary, of the policy and working methods of the NAGSMO Member States’ services, such as quality assurance and auditing; and
- support integration of the AGS Core System with the NATO Joint Intelligence Surveillance and Reconnaissance architecture.

NAGSMO comprises a Board of Directors (BoD) with Representatives from the Participating Nations, and NAGSMA as the Executive Body, led by a General Manager. The diagram below depicts the NAGSMO.
NAGSMA and Service Support/Level Agreement

The NAGSMO BoD, represented by the NAGSMA GM, signed three formal agreements (an MOA, an SLA and an SSA) with the IS and NCI Agency, for support services in a number of areas necessary for the day to day operations of the Agency. In all respects of NAGSMA related activities, the GM and his staff remain fully accountable for the responsibilities assigned to them and make the final decisions on all matters related to the execution of NAGSMA’s mandate. The SSA includes NCI Agency support services in the area of accounting and financial support in accordance with the NATO Financial Regulations as follows:

- Prepare estimates of NCI Agency support services cost associated with the SSA and SLA for inclusion in NAGSMA Administrative Budget estimates for submission to the NAGSMO BoD.
- Payment of invoices after validation and approval by NAGSMA.
- Accounting services in accordance with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS).
- Payroll of NAGSMA staff.
- Treasury Services.
- Support IBAN audit and NAGSMA internal audits.


The NAGSMO BoD approved the revised NAGSMO FMPD-FRP at their September 2012 meeting, recognising the withdrawal of Canada and the re-entry of Denmark, the conversion parameters from Then-Year to Base-Year, the call for contribution structure and the proposed structure of the Operational Budget. The NAGSMO FMPD-FRP conforms to the provision of the NATO Financial Regulations. The NAGSMO Finance Committee has requested a review of the NAGSMO FMPD to comply with the new NFR’s once approved by the NAC.

NAGSMA 2013 Administrative Budget

The NAGSMA 2013 Administrative Budget was approved by NAGSMO BoD in November 2012. The budget was approved to fund NAGSMA’s expenditures from 1 January to 31 December 2013. In 2013 NAGSMA continued to reduce costs related to business operations, overhead activities and support functions. It successfully negotiated a new Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with NATO IS and a new Service Level Agreement (SLA) and Service Support Agreement (SSA) with the NCI Agency for external administrative support which resulted in cost savings to NAGSMA. Personnel related expenditures in the NAGSMA 2013 Administrative Budget were considerably lower than what had been anticipated, due to re-organization of the functional requirements outlined in the NAGSMA Staffing Plan. The result is a significant amount of lapsed credits. NAGSMA was also involved
in changing the way it does business by improving fiscal and contracting discipline as well as striving for complete transparency. In fulfilling its recruitment objectives NAGSMA has been active in reducing the cost per staff member.

**NAGSMA 2013 Operational Budget**

The NAGSMA 2013 Operational Budget was approved in principle by NAGSMO BoD at the September 2012 meeting. The budget was approved to fund prime contract related AGS Core acquisition expenditures in 2013. The NAGSMA USD Operational Budget was increased at no cost to Nations to cover the Polish Industry Study, approved in the Mid-Year Review (MYR) 2013 and delivered by industry in October 2013 (Amendment 0004).

The BoD approved the request by Latvia to apply Pre-PMOU Contributions (PPC) towards the 2012 and 2013 NAGSMA Operational Budget at their meeting in February 2012. At the April 2012 meeting the BoD approved the request by Estonia to apply PPC towards the 2013-2016 Financial Year Operational Budget.

The NAGSMO BoD authorized the USA Non-Financial Contributions (NFC) to be expensed from the Operational Budget from 2013 to 2017 upon confirmation of support costs incurred at Edwards Air Force base. At the BoD meeting in March 2013 the NFC proposal from Italy was accepted and Italy was granted authorization to expense the Non-Financial Contributions for the airworthiness certification (AWC) efforts. Italy agreed to submit the costs incurred for the airworthiness certification at year end and provide periodic reports on their AWC activities at each BoD meeting. To date NAGSMA has not received an estimate of the costs by period and by budget year.

**Major Achievements FY 2013:**

During the FY 2013 the AGS Programme Schedule foresaw several important events leading to starting the AGS System Preliminary Design Review (PDR). The end of the reporting period December 2013 also marks the completion of month 19 of contract execution. The main achievements are the following:

- 4 Programme Management Reviews (PMRs) conducted with the Contractor.
- Several Technical working groups held, such as: 5 User System Interface Working Group (USIWG), 4 Technical Interchange Meetings (TIM), 3 Operational User Group (OUG), 1 System Safety WG (SSWG), 3 AGS Interoperability Meetings, 2 Verification Planning Working Group (VPWG), and 5 Airworthiness Certification Authority Working Group (ACAWG).
- Several meetings were held in coordination with AGS Programme Stakeholders such as: ICT Meetings (quarterly), Training IPT Meetings, Logistic IPT Meetings, Infrastructure IPT, Communications & INFOSEC IPT, and other workshops.
- System Design Review (SDR) process and meetings started in April 2013 with Entity Reviews (MGGS, Air Segment, TGGS/MOS) and finished with the System level SDR end of
May 2013. The successful completion of the SDR was reached at the end of December 2013 after resolution of outstanding open actions.

- PDR process and meetings were foreseen to be initiated in November 2013. Due to the delay on finalising of the SDR open actions, the full PDR Process (including the Entity level PDRs) has been rescheduled to start early December 2013 going up to second quarter of 2014.

The PDR will be supported by the external stakeholders: SHAPE for security accreditation and operational concerns, Italian Directorate of Aeronautical Armaments and Airworthiness (DAAA) for airworthiness certification, Host Nation (HN) Italy for infrastructure concerns, and Host Nation NCI Agency for CIS infrastructure. Multiple milestones payments linked to SDR successful, due in November/December 2013, were shifted forward due to only partial completion of the above mentioned milestones creating a significant carry forward from the 2013 Operational Budget into 2014. This shift has no impact on the contract schedule and does not trigger any additional costs.

The risk management process established by NAGSMA is inclusive of the main Programme Stakeholders. In 2013 NAGSMA continued to work with the Contractor in identifying Programme common risks and jointly managing them through the established NAGSMA-NGISSII Joint Risk Control Board. All risk management related contractual deliverables have been provided by the Contractor and NAGSMA has access to the Contractor’s risk management database, providing more visibility in its risk management process. Some risk mitigation measures have successfully decreased the risk exposure, efforts continue in mitigating and controlling all risks relative to the AGS Programme execution.

NAGSMA Assets

Office space, including furniture, IT and other equipment is provided by the NCI Agency via the SSA.

NAGSMO & NAGSMA Acting as a Procurement Agent

The North Atlantic Council (NAC) established NAGSMO by approving its charter. The Charter clearly establishes that NAGSMO is a subsidiary body of NATO and states that the objective is to procure the AGS Core which will be owned, controlled and operated by NATO. At the NAGSMO Finance Committee (FC) meeting in February 2014 the FC noted the presentation by the NAGSMA Acting Financial Controller re-affirming that NAGSMA is an entity acting as an agent with no control over the assets procured. The AGS Participating Nations reiterated the specific nature of the AGS Programme: temporary existence of the Agency and that the Agency will not own any asset. In order to comply with the NATO Accounting Framework, ACO will account for all NAGSMA procured assets in the future. NAGSMA will fully disclose and provide at the end of each reporting period to ACO all financial data regarding the assets procured. NAGSMA responded this year to ACO’s request for information that no assets were procured for the financial year ending in December 2013.
CERTIFICATION

1. The NAGSMO 2013 Financial Statements are submitted to the International Board of Auditors for NATO.

2. The Financial Statements will be audited in accordance with the following reference documents:
   a. The NAGSMO FMPD-FRP conform to the NFR, Part II as approved by the North Atlantic Council (NAC) in C-M(81)30 and 31, as well as C-R(81)23 items II and III.
   b. PO(2002)109, dated 23 July 2002: the document from the NAC adopting IPSAS, including the accrual and going concern assumptions, as the applicable accounting standards for all NATO entities effective for the financial year of 2006.
   c. The NATO Accounting Framework has been developed to provide minimum requirements for financial reporting for all NATO Reporting Entities following approval of C-M(2013)0039 by the North Atlantic Council on 2 August 2013 of an IPSAS-adapted accounting framework for the Alliance.

3. The NAGSMO Financial Statements are certified by the NAGSMA Acting Financial Controller to the best of her knowledge and according to the applicable accounting standards to give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and financial performance of NAGSMA and to be in accordance with the books and records maintained by the NCI Agency and NAGSMA.

Valeria Ramundo Orlando
NAGSMA Acting Financial Controller
STATEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL

This statement of internal control applies to the Financial Statements of NAGSMA, as the executive body of NAGSMO, for the year ended 31 December 2013.

Scope of responsibility

- The General Manager acknowledges responsibility to maintain a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of NAGSMA’s mandate under its NATO Charter.
- The Acting Financial Controller acknowledges responsibility for the correct use of funds made available to NAGSMA and for maintaining a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of NAGSMA’s goals and objectives, whilst safeguarding the public funds and assets.
- Funds received are recorded, accounted and managed through the NCI Agency core financial system.

The NAGSMO Board of Directors approved the NAGSMO Financial Management Procedures Document-Financial Rules and Procedures (FMPD-FRPs) to provide guidance on the proper handling and reporting of public funds. The FMPD-FRPs sets out the relevant financial rules and procedures in accordance with the NATO Financial Regulations, emphasises the need for economy, efficiency and effectiveness, and promotes good practice and high standards of propriety.

Purpose of the System of Internal Control

The system of internal control is designed to ensure that NATO assets are used for the purposes intended and that the transactions relating to their usage reflect the highest standards of integrity to justify continued confidence of the AGS Programme Participating Nations.

The process within NAGSMA accords with the NAGSMO FMPD-FRPs and has been in place for the year ending 31 December 2013 and up to the date of approval of the Financial Statements.

Risk and Control Framework

The NAGSMA system of internal control is based on an ongoing process designed to identify the principal risks to the achievement of NAGSMA goals and objectives, to evaluate the nature and extent of those risks and to manage them efficiently and effectively.

NAGSMA is committed to a process of continuous development and improvement: developing systems in response to any relevant reviews and developments in best practice in this area.
Since the NCI Agency carries out transactions on behalf of NAGSMA under the Service Support Agreement, NAGSMA’s processes need to be compatible to the NCI Agency financial management system.

**Review of Effectiveness**

The Acting Financial Controller has responsibility for reviewing the effectiveness of the system of internal control. The review is informed by:

- The executive managers within the organisation who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the internal control framework; and
- Comments made by the external auditors in their management letters and other reports.

Appropriate action is in place to address any weaknesses identified and to ensure the continuous improvement of the system. During 2013, no material instances of failure to the internal control system were reported that should be brought to your attention.

James E. EDGE  
NAGSMA General Manager

Valeria Ramundo Orlando  
NAGSMA Acting Financial Controller
### NAGSMA - Statement of Financial Position

as at 31 December 2013
(All amounts in Euro)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-Dec-13</td>
<td>31-Dec-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>218,789,925</td>
<td>164,439,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables from Nations</td>
<td>311,673,692</td>
<td>234,938,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>137,267</td>
<td>131,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets</strong></td>
<td>530,600,885</td>
<td>399,509,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term receivables</td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current assets</strong></td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>530,757,728</td>
<td>399,595,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advances from Nations</td>
<td>526,332,122</td>
<td>396,732,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade payables</td>
<td>156,321</td>
<td>125,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other payables</td>
<td>4,112,441</td>
<td>2,652,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>530,600,885</td>
<td>399,509,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td>530,757,728</td>
<td>399,595,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NET ASSETS/EQUITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surpluses/(deficits)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Assets/Equity</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td>530,757,728</td>
<td>399,595,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# NAGSMA - Statement of Financial Performance
for the year ended 31 December 2013
(All amounts in Euro)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANNEX C</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## REVENUE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue from non-exchange transactions</td>
<td>C01</td>
<td>7,694,928</td>
<td>7,057,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,694,928</td>
<td>7,057,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## EXPENSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages, salaries and employee benefits</td>
<td>C02</td>
<td>5,905,533</td>
<td>4,940,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and consumables used</td>
<td>C03</td>
<td>1,789,395</td>
<td>2,116,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,694,928</td>
<td>7,057,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NET SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NET SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) ALLOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surpluses/(deficits)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net surplus/(deficit) allocation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NAGSMA - Cash Flow Statement (Indirect Method)
for the year ended 31 December 2013
(All amounts in Euro)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANNEX D 2013 2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

**Cash flow from operating and other activities**

Net surplus/(deficit) | 0 | 0

Total cash flow from operating and other activities | 0 | 0

**Non-cash movements**

- Decr./(incr.) Other current assets | (5,754) | (28,607)
- Decr./(incr.) Receivables from Nations | (76,734,772) | (83,100,003)
- Decr./(incr.) Long-term receivables | (71,155) | (21,259)

Total decrease/(increase) Current assets | (76,811,682) | (83,149,868)

**Increase/(decrease) Current liabilities**

- Incr./(decr.) Provisions | 71,155 | 21,259
- Incr./(decr.) Other payables | 1,460,341 | 310,489
- Incr./(decr.) Trade payables | 31,092 | 2,491
- Incr./(decr.) Advances from Nations | 129,599,797 | 179,674,695

Total increase/(decrease) Current liabilities | 131,162,385 | 180,008,934

**NET CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES** | 54,350,703 | 96,859,066

### NET CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### NET CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>54,350,703</th>
<th>96,859,066</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD** | 164,439,222 | 67,580,157 |

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD** | 218,789,925 | 164,439,222 |
NAGSMA - Statement of Changes in Net Assets/Equity  
for the year ended 31 December 2013  
(All amounts in Euro)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALANCE AT 31-DECEMBER-2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net surplus for the period</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALANCE AT 31-DECEMBER-2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET CHANGE IN ASSETS/EQUITY (OPERATING FUND) FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31-DECEMBER-2013</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NAGSMA Budget Execution Statement - Administrative Budget

for the year ended 31 December 2013

(All amounts in Euro)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Initial Authorisation</th>
<th>Mid-year Review (MYR)</th>
<th>Final Approval - MYR</th>
<th>Transf.</th>
<th>Final Authorisation</th>
<th>Net Commitment</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
<th>Lapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MYR FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>FA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 - Personnel E01</td>
<td>194,291</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>194,291</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61,792</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132,499</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 - Personnel E02</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>5,772,586</td>
<td>5,937,386</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>1,943,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Personnel</td>
<td>8,075,241</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,075,241</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>6,384,378</td>
<td>5,999,178</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>2,076,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual supplies and services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 - Contractual supplies and services E03</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,096</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,496</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 - Contractual supplies and services E04</td>
<td>2,895,342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,895,342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>1,792,462</td>
<td>1,927,936</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>971,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Contractual supplies and services</td>
<td>2,896,742</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,896,742</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>1,792,462</td>
<td>1,924,840</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>971,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital and investment budgeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 - Capital and Investment budgeting E05</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Capital and investment budgeting</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget contingencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 - Budget contingencies E06</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>259,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Budget contingencies</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>259,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BUDGET EXECUTION</td>
<td>11,281,983</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,281,983</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300,274</td>
<td>7,623,772</td>
<td>7,924,046</td>
<td>300,274</td>
<td>3,357,937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **MYR FA:** Mydalskiföretagans förmedlingsbilaga
- **Personnel:** 2012 - Personnel E01 194,291 0 194,291 0 194,291 0 61,792 61,792 0 132,499
- **Contractual supplies and services:** 2012 - Contractual supplies and services E03 1,400 0 1,400 0 1,400 0 0 0 0 4,496
- **Capital and investment budgeting:** 2012 - Capital and Investment budgeting E05 50,000 0 50,000 0 50,000 0 0 0 0 0
- **Budget contingencies:** 2012 - Budget contingencies E06 260,000 0 260,000 0 260,000 0 28 28 0 259,972
- **Total:** 11,281,983 0 11,281,983 0 11,281,983 300,274 7,623,772 7,924,046 300,274 3,357,937
### NAGSMA Budget Execution Statement - Operational Budget Total

for the year ended 31 December 2013

(All amounts in Euro equivalent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Initial Authorisation</th>
<th>Mid Year Review (MYR)</th>
<th>Final Approval - MYR</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
<th>Final Authorisation</th>
<th>Net Commitment</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Total Spend</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
<th>Lapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annex F</td>
<td>MYR</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGS Core System Procurement</td>
<td>377,976,742</td>
<td>150,614</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>138,078,163</td>
<td>240,049,193</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>138,078,163</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL AGS Core System Procurement</td>
<td>377,976,742</td>
<td>150,614</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>138,078,163</td>
<td>240,049,193</td>
<td>378,127,356</td>
<td>138,078,163</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NAGSMA Budget Execution Statement - Operational Budget (EUR part)**
for the year ended 31 December 2013
All amounts in Euro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Initial Authorisation</th>
<th>Mid Year - Review (MYR)</th>
<th>Final Approval - MYR</th>
<th>Transfers</th>
<th>Final Authorisation</th>
<th>Net Commitment</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Total Spend</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
<th>Lapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annex E</td>
<td>2012 - System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>216,439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216,439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216,439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>216,439</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 - System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>33,155,763</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33,155,763</td>
<td>2,869,966</td>
<td>33,155,763</td>
<td>2,869,966</td>
<td>33,155,763</td>
<td>2,869,966</td>
<td>33,155,763</td>
<td>2,869,966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>2012 - Management Reserve</th>
<th>1,341,666</th>
<th>3,060,67</th>
<th>4,402,337</th>
<th>108,215</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>108,215</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 - Management Reserve</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Management Reserve</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Total CAR</th>
<th>2012 - CAFX</th>
<th>1,341,666</th>
<th>3,060,67</th>
<th>4,402,337</th>
<th>108,215</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>108,215</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 - CAFX</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
<td>2,528,300</td>
<td>2,891,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CAFX</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
<td>2,856,600</td>
<td>3,920,707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>BL 60001</th>
<th>247</th>
<th>2012 - System Design, Development and Qualification</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 - System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>98,016,409</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98,016,409</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>134,163,564</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>118,155,681</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118,155,681</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>134,163,564</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Annex E</th>
<th>2012 - System Design, Development and Qualification</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20,144,029</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 - System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>98,016,409</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98,016,409</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>134,163,564</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total System Design, Development and Qualification</td>
<td>118,155,681</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118,155,681</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>134,163,564</td>
<td>65,717,593</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annex E

#### BL 6604010 MYR FA System Design, Development and Qualification

**2012 - System Design, Development and Qualification**
- Initial Authorisation: 26,775,000 MYR
- Net Commitment: 26,775,000 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 26,775,000 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 75,000 MYR
- Total: 26,775,000 MYR

**2013 - System Design, Development and Qualification**
- Initial Authorisation: 25,110,125 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: -29,800,000 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 197,952,319 MYR
- Net Commitment: 145,109,727 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 77,876,850 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 16,000,000 MYR
- Total: 171,884,727 MYR

**Total System Design, Development and Qualification**
- Initial Authorisation: 25,110,125 MYR + 26,775,000 MYR = 51,885,125 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: -29,800,000 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 197,952,319 MYR
- Net Commitment: 145,109,727 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 77,876,850 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 16,000,000 MYR
- Total: 291,900,387 MYR

#### BL 6604010 MYR FA Production

**2012 - Production**
- Initial Authorisation: 25,110,125 MYR
- Net Commitment: 25,110,125 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 25,110,125 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 90,052 MYR
- Total: 25,110,125 MYR

**2013 - Production**
- Initial Authorisation: 19,425,617 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 30,000,000 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 49,425,617 MYR
- Net Commitment: 92,133,172 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 16,000,000 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 76,133,172 MYR
- Total: 117,243,297 MYR

**Total Production**
- Initial Authorisation: 44,535,742 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 30,000,000 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 74,535,742 MYR
- Net Commitment: 117,243,297 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 16,000,000 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 101,153,245 MYR
- Total: 196,514,138 MYR

#### BL 6604010 MYR FA Management Reserve

**2012 - Management Reserve**
- Initial Authorisation: 1,770,000 MYR
- Net Commitment: 1,770,000 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 1,770,000 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 1,544,347 MYR
- Total: 1,770,000 MYR

**2013 - Management Reserve**
- Initial Authorisation: 16,051,789 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: -377,488 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 15,674,301 MYR
- Net Commitment: 0 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 0 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 0 MYR
- Total: 0 MYR

**Total Management Reserve**
- Initial Authorisation: 17,821,789 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: -377,488 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 17,444,301 MYR
- Net Commitment: 0 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 0 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 0 MYR
- Total: 17,444,301 MYR

#### BL 6604010 MYR FA CAFX

**2013 - CAFX**
- Initial Authorisation: 4,815,537 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 0 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 4,815,537 MYR
- Net Commitment: 4,815,537 MYR
- Actual Expenses: -3,613,174 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 1,202,363 MYR
- Total: 4,815,537 MYR

**Total CAFX**
- Initial Authorisation: 4,815,537 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 0 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 4,815,537 MYR
- Net Commitment: 4,815,537 MYR
- Actual Expenses: -3,613,174 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 1,202,363 MYR
- Total: 4,815,537 MYR

#### BL 6604010 MYR FA IISS

**2013 - IISS**
- Initial Authorisation: 0 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 377,488 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 377,488 MYR
- Net Commitment: 377,488 MYR
- Actual Expenses: -377,488 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 0 MYR
- Total: 0 MYR

**Total IISS**
- Initial Authorisation: 0 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 377,488 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 377,488 MYR
- Net Commitment: 377,488 MYR
- Actual Expenses: -377,488 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 0 MYR
- Total: 0 MYR

---

**Overall Total**
- Initial Authorisation: 291,900,387 MYR
- Mid Year - Review: 200,000 MYR
- Final Approval - MYR Transfers: 292,100,387 MYR
- Net Commitment: 95,586,249 MYR
- Actual Expenses: 196,514,138 MYR
- Total Spend Carry Forward: 292,100,387 MYR
- Total: 292,100,387 MYR
Notes to the Financial Statements for the year ending 31 December 2013
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ANNEX A  Basis of Preparation and Accounting Policies

A01 Basis of preparation
The financial statements of the NAGSMA, the executive body of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO), have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) and based on International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS/IAS), as decided by the North Atlantic Council in 2002, using the historic cost convention, except where IPSAS requires the revaluation of financial instruments to fair value.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the NATO Accounting Framework, the NATO Financial Regulations (NFR) and the Financial Rules and Procedures (FRP) and the relevant entity directives and policies. In accordance with Article 2 of the NFR, the financial year at NAGSMA begins on 1 January and ends on 31 December of the year.

The NAGSMA financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. During the Lisbon Summit in 2010 the Heads of State and Government approved the consolidation and rationalisation of the functions and programmes of the 14 NATO agencies into three agencies and the reorganisation of the military commands. This NATO Agencies’ Reform initiative continues and NAGSMA participates in the development of the new NATO Procurement Organisation (NPLSO). However, there is no expected impact on NAGSMA until, at least, 2016.

These financial statements represent the consolidated activities of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance Management Organisation (NAGSMO) and its executive body NAGSMA.

NAGSMA’s significant accounting policies are set out below. The accounting policies have been applied consistently to all periods presented.

A02 Use of estimates
In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the financial statements necessarily include amounts based on estimates and assumptions by management, based on historical experience as well as the most reliable information available, judgement and assumptions. Estimates include accrued revenue and expenses. The majority of goods and services are provided by third parties and other NATO entities; therefore, accrued expenses and the related revenue recognition are based on estimates. By nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in estimates are reflected in the period in which they become known.
A03 Significant Accounting Policies

Accounting as a Procurement Agent

IPSAS draws a distinction between transactions that an entity undertakes on its own behalf (principal) and those on behalf of others (agent). The distinction is whether the economic benefits arising from the procurement effort accrue to the entity or to the extent that a fee may be levied for providing an agency service.

Agency activities are those activities carried out by the reporting entity, but which are not its own activities. Where an entity undertakes agency activities, it is identified as the agent in relation to those activities.

NAGSMA is considered as a procurement agent in respect of its operations since:
- It was created for the sole purpose of procuring the AGS Core System on behalf of the AGS Participating Nations;
- It does not bear any inventory risk before or after the customer order;
- It does not bear the credit risk for the amounts receivable from the customer;
- It does carry out acceptance of goods and services on behalf of Nations/NATO;
- It does not receive a fixed fee per transaction or a stated percentage of the amount billed, as it is reimbursed for the running costs of the Agency via the Administrative Budget.

NAGSMA provides contract administration function to NAGSMO. NAGSMA acts as an agent in accordance with a mandate set by the NAGSMO Participating Nations in the PMOU, which defines the role of the Agency in the procurement of a NATO owned and operated core AGS capability. NAGSMO is as well considered an Agent in respect of its operations, as a subsidiary body of NATO, with the sole objective to procure the AGS Core which will be owned, controlled and operated by the 28 Nations of NATO. NAGSMO and its executive body NAGSMA do not have and will not have control in the future of the assets procured as per the criteria in the NATO Accounting Framework. Transactions are treated accordingly in the NAGSMO Financial Statements.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional and reporting currency of NAGSMA is the EUR. Transactions in currencies other than EUR are translated into EUR at the prevailing NATO rates of exchange at the time of transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than EUR are translated into EUR at the prevailing NATO year-end closing rate. Resulting foreign exchange unrealised gains and losses are recognised as Advances from Nations. Realised exchange differences are recognised as Payables to Nations in the Statement of Financial Position.

Financial Instruments

Financial instruments are recognised at the contract date and initially measured at fair value. Their subsequent measurement depends on their classification. Loans and receivables and other liabilities are not re-valued (except for changes in exchange rates which are included in
the Statement of Financial Performance). Financial instruments are not recognised on expiry or when all contractual rights and obligations are transferred.

Management is aware of the risks associated with financial instruments and is bound by NAGSMO’s Financial Rules and Procedures to keep these risks very low. NAGSMA uses only non-derivative financial instruments as part of its normal operations, such as bank accounts, deposit accounts, accounts receivable and provisions.

Currency risk: To limit the exposure to foreign currency exchange risk, NAGSMA is forecasting the yearly expected expenditures in foreign currencies. In order to have the required funding, NAGSMA asks the Participating Nations to provide their contributions in the necessary currencies.

Liquidity risk: The liquidity risk is based on the assessment of whether the organisation will encounter difficulties in meeting its obligation associated with financial liabilities. There is a very limited exposure to liquidity risk because of the budget funding mechanism that guarantees contributions for the total approved budget. The accuracy of forecasts that result in the calls for contributions as well as the delay in payment represents the main liquidity risks. There is a clause in the NAGSMO FRP art. 15 Payment of Contributions, that deals with unpaid contributions giving the Agency the authority to determine the appropriate course of action in case of a delay or a non-payment and the financial consequences of such, borne by the Participating Nation.

Credit Risk: There is a very limited credit risk as the contributing Nations generally have a high credit rating.

Cash and Cash Equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents includes cash held with the bank in current/checking accounts.

Receivables
Receivables are stated at net realisable value, after provision for doubtful and uncollectible debts. No allowance for loss is recorded with respect to Member States’ assessed contributions receivable except for exceptional and agreed technical reasons.

Other Current Assets
Other current assets include prepayments which are payments in advance of the period to which it pertains as well as bank interest receivable at year-end.

Payables
Payables are amounts due to third parties based on goods received or services provided that remain unpaid. This includes an estimate of accrued obligation to third parties for goods and services received but not yet invoiced.
Advances and Unearned Revenue
Advances are contributions called or received related to future years’ budgets. Unearned revenue represents contributions from Nations and/or third parties that have been called for current or prior years’ budgets but that have not yet been recognised as revenue. Funds are called in advance of their need because the entity has no capital that would allow it to pre-finance any of its activities.

Provisions and Contingent Liabilities
Provisions are recognised when NAGSMA has a present obligation as a result of a past event, and it is probable that NAGSMA will be required to settle that obligation. Provisions are measured at the General Manager’s best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at the date of the statement of financial position, and are discounted to present value where the effect is material.

Other commitments, which do not meet the recognition criteria for liabilities, are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements as contingent liabilities when their existence will be confirmed only by the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events which are not wholly within the control of NAGSMA.

Budget Execution Statement
The Board of Directors approves the annual budgets which include budgeted amounts for the administration of the Agency and Programme costs. Budgets may be subsequently amended by the Board of Directors or through the exercise of delegated authority. The budget execution statement provides a comparison of budgeted and actual amounts calculated on accrual basis.

Revenue and Expense Recognition
Revenue comprises contributions from Participating Nations to fund the entity's budgets. Revenue is recognised to the extent that it is probable that the economic benefits will flow to the entity and the revenue can be measured reliably. Where a transfer is subject to conditions that, if unfulfilled, require the return of the transferred resources, NAGSMA recognises a liability until the condition is fulfilled.

Revenue for the Administrative Budget
Contributions to the budget are initially recorded as advances from nations. They are recognised as revenue in the statement of financial performance when such contributions are used for their intended purpose as envisioned in its approved budget.

Interest
Interest receivable is credited as payables to Participating Nations in the statement of financial position.
Representation Allowance
As from 1 June 2013, a summary of the operations related to the Representation Allowance are included in the Financial Statements. Transactions occurring in respect of Representation Allowance are expensed in the period to which they relate, and were paid directly from payroll.

Retirement Benefit Plans
Defined Contribution Plans
NAGSMA employees are members of the NATO-wide Defined Contribution Pension Scheme (DCPS). The assets of the plans are held separately from those of NAGSMA in funds under the control of trustees. NAGSMA is required to contribute a specified percentage of payroll costs to the DCPS to fund the benefits. Payments to the DCPS are recognised as an expense when employees have rendered service entitling them to the contributions. The only obligation of NAGSMA with respect to the DCPS is to make the specified contributions.

Defined Benefit Plans
NAGSMA employees who have joined NATO before 1 July 2005 are members of the NATO Coordinated Pension Scheme which is a funded defined benefit plan. Under the plans and upon completion of 10 years employment with NATO, the employees are entitled to retirement benefits of 2% per year of service of final basic salary on attainment of a retirement age of 60. No other post-retirement benefits are provided to these employees. Staff members whose length of service is not sufficient to entitle them to a retirement pension are eligible for a leaving allowance. The assets and liability for NATO’s Defined Benefit Plan are accounted for centrally at NATO Headquarters and therefore are not recognised in these financial statements.
# ANNEX B  Notes to the Statement of Financial Position

The Statement of Financial Position details the assets and liabilities of NAGSMA as of 31 December 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes Reference</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B01 Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>218,789,925</td>
<td>164,439,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02 Receivables from Nations</td>
<td>311,673,692</td>
<td>234,938,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B03 Other current assets</td>
<td>137,267</td>
<td>131,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B04 Long-term receivables</td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash and Cash equivalents are short-term liquid assets. NAGSMA has two highly reliable and interest bearing bank accounts: an administrative and an operational account that have three currency sub-accounts.

The increase in funds is due to an increase in the Operational Budget in 2014 and the related calls which have been paid prior to 31 December 2013.

Accounts receivable are kept in separate sub-ledgers that provide detailed insight into the outstanding calls for contribution and provide accurate and up-to-date cash forecast information. The receivables as of 31 December 2013 are outstanding calls for contributions towards the Administrative Budget 2014 and the Operational Budget 2014 which are not yet past their due date. The variance between 2013 and 2012 is equivalent to the increase in the 2014 Operational Budget which is the largest in terms of funding of the entire Programme.

Other current assets are bank interest accrued relating to 2013 and received in 2013. The increase is related to the increase in the bank balance.

Long-term receivables match provisions for annual leave entitlements accrued but unclaimed at year-end.
Advances from Nations are funds called and/or received from Nations in respect of 2014 Administrative and Operational Budgets and unrealised exchange rate gains and losses. The variance between 2013 and 2012 is the result of an increase in both the 2014 Administrative and Operational budgets and consequently the 2014 first Calls for Contributions.

These short-term payables are open liabilities for goods and services received in 2013 such as consulting contracts, communication, travel and personnel related invoices.

Other payables include lapsed credits 2013 and the 2013 financial result that will have to be refunded upon national decision.

The provision represents annual leave entitlements accrued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Advances from Nations</th>
<th>526,332,122</th>
<th>396,732,326</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B05</td>
<td>Trade payables</td>
<td>156,321</td>
<td>125,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B06</td>
<td>Other payables</td>
<td>4,112,441</td>
<td>2,652,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B07</td>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>156,844</td>
<td>85,688</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EUR

Balance at 1 January 2013 85,688
Additional provision recognised 71,155
Balance at 31 December 2013 156,843
ANNEX C  Notes to the Statement of Financial Performance

The Statement of Financial Performance details the revenue and expenses of NAGSMA incurred during the year ending 31 December 2013.

C01 Revenue from non-exchange transactions 7,694,928 7,057,040
Revenue represents funding from Nations for expenses incurred during the financial year.

C02 Wages, salaries and employee benefits 5,905,533 4,940,178
The costs in this Chapter are for staff members hired under the NATO Civilian Personnel Regulations as well as for consultants and contractors. The figures represent the costs of personnel including basic salary, allowances, insurance and pension plan contributions.

NAGSMA had a staff of 46 employees (2012: 38 employees) at the year-end.

The breakdown of wages, salaries and employee benefits is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended 31/12/13</th>
<th>Year ended 31/12/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>EUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expense, consultants and contractors</td>
<td>5,456,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post employment benefits (see note F01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for defined contribution pension scheme</td>
<td>410,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for defined benefit pension scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination benefits</td>
<td>-32,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for annual leave entitlements accrued</td>
<td>71,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total employee benefits expense</td>
<td>5,905,533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C03 Supplies and consumables used 1,789,395 2,116,862
Supplies and consumables used are costs of goods and services used by NAGSMA associated with the general management and administrative activities under the SSA and SLA, information management, finance, human resources, building and facilities, security, etc. These costs are linked to the approved NAGSMA peacetime establishment. It also includes hospitality funds, communications services (ie. telephone) and NATO Headquarters support (MOA).
ANNEX D  Notes to the Cash Flow Statement

The Cash Flow Statement is prepared using the indirect method. The Cash Flow Statement summarises the cash movements in and out of the Agency during the financial year.
### Annex E Notes to the Budget Execution Statement

#### Administrative Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes Reference</th>
<th>Initial Authorisation</th>
<th>Mid Year - Initial Author.</th>
<th>Mid Year - Review</th>
<th>FA - MYR</th>
<th>Final Authorisation</th>
<th>Net Commitment</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Total Spend</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
<th>Lapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E01 2012 - Personnel</td>
<td>194,291</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>194,291</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>194,291</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61,792</td>
<td>61,792</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E02 2013 - Personnel</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,880,950</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>5,772,586</td>
<td>5,937,386</td>
<td>164,800</td>
<td>1,943,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E03 2012 - Contractual supplies and services</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,096</td>
<td>-3,096</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E04 2013 - Contractual supplies and services</td>
<td>2,895,342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,895,342</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,895,342</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>1,792,462</td>
<td>1,927,936</td>
<td>135,474</td>
<td>967,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E05 2013 - Capital and investment budgeting</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E06 2013 - Budget contingencies</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>260,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>259,972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lapses from prior years result from an accrual for a provision which has not been expensed in respect of a Loss of Job Indemnity. Additionally two consulting contracts were closed lapsing the carry forward amounts.

Lapses are due to fewer individuals recruited than foreseen in the staffing plan due to a re-organization of the functional requirements essential for the successful support of the AGS Programme.

Lapses are mainly due to cost savings on service agreements and reduced travel due to contract signature delay.

Lapses are due to savings resulting from the re-negotiation of service level and support agreements with NCI Agency and IS, as well as a reduction in travel on duty during 2013.

Lapses are due to capital investment covered through the Service Support and Level Agreements with the NCI Agency.

Lapses are due to unused contingencies.
ANNEX F  Notes to the Budget Execution Statement – Operational Budget

The accounting basis used in preparation and presentation of the Operational Budget is the same as the one used in the financial statements, the accrual basis for recording of the actual expenditures.

The classification basis adopted for the Operational Budget is to show expenditure for AGS Core System Procurement. In 2013, there was no planned expenditure in respect of Initial In-Service Support and the funds were transferred to SDDQ.

The exchange rate used to translate the USD portion of expenditure to the presentation currency EUR in the Operational Budget Total table is the average exchange rate in 2013 of 1.3279. There are no lapses in the 2013 Operational Budget.

The Operational Budget is presented to Participating Nations in two currencies EUR and USD. The Budget Execution Statements by currency show the Budget's net commitments and expenditures by sub-categories, as presented to and approved by Participating Nations.

System Design, Development and Qualification
The AGS Core System Design, Development and Qualification covers the design and development for the AGS Core System. The Budget includes provisions for milestones which cover: Design of the AGS Core System in accordance with the mandatory TRD requirements; Data deliverables (CDRLs and DALs); Technical Interchange Meetings; and Working Group meetings and Programme design reviews.

Production
Contractual milestones under the production sub-category are related to advance procurement authority to meet the contract timeline for production of test articles and placement of purchase orders for long lead items. Long lead items include raw materials that must be ordered by the Contractor well in advance of actual manufacturing. The larger expenditures in this sub-category are linked to the achievement of the Programme Management Review 4 (PMR4).

Management Reserve
The Management Reserve was used to expense NAGSMO BoD approved Pre PMOU Credits.

CAFX
Funds committed and expensed towards the Contracting Authority Furnished Equipment (CAFE) in 2013, were approved for designated support for training and other support for essential functionalities needed for the design and implementation of the Core System.

Carry forwards from 2012 are commitments for liquidation payments for the Danish and Canadian Studies (in SDDQ and Production), the approved PPC and NFC respectively for Estonia and the USA.
Carry forwards from 2013 are mostly correlated to the postponement of closing all items linked to System Design Review (SDR) in 2013 and postponing the complete certification of accomplishment to 2014. Consequently milestones linked to SDR successful due in November/December 2013 were shifted forward creating a significant carry forward from the 2013 Operational Budget into 2014. This shift has no effect on the programme schedule or execution.
ANNEX G  General Notes to the Financial Statements

G01 Related Party Disclosures
NAGSMO and NAGSMA have related party relationships with the Members of the NAGSMO Board of Directors and a number of other NATO bodies.

In 2013, the NCI Agency performed certain administrative services for NAGSMA under the Service Support and Service Level Agreements, for which a total fee of EUR 1,233,641 (2012: EUR 1,756,927) was charged and paid.
NATO IS performed other administrative services for NAGSMA, for which a total fee of EUR 104,684 (2012: EUR 101,743) was charged and paid.
NSPA performed additional administrative services for NAGSMA, for which a total fee of EUR 30,514 was charged and paid.

Compensation of key management personnel
The remuneration of Directors and other members of key management personnel (NATO civilian grade A5 and above) is determined by the NATO salary scales and was as follows during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year 2013</th>
<th>Prior Year 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic salaries</td>
<td>664,743</td>
<td>488,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowances</td>
<td>198,702</td>
<td>145,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-employment benefits</td>
<td>66,691</td>
<td>58,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer’s contribution to Insurance</td>
<td>90,776</td>
<td>69,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,020,912</strong></td>
<td><strong>762,372</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in compensation of key management personnel is due to the fact that in 2013 all key management personnel positions were filled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Year 2013</th>
<th>Prior Year 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Manager</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme Manager</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key management personnel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G02 Representation Allowance

The purpose of the Representation Allowance is to help meet the reasonable representational expenses of certain designated high officials of the Organisation whose positions entail responsibility for establishing and maintaining relationships of value to NATO.

As from 1 June 2013, a new procedure is in place in respect of Representation Allowance; as all recipients are now reimbursed permitted expenses within the limits of their individual Representation Allowance allocation. All representation allowance disbursements for the 2013 reporting period were administered by the Agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>EUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement</td>
<td>2,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functions</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transactions</th>
<th>EUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement March-May</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement June-July</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement August</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement September</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement October</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement November</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Supplement December</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rental Supplement Annual Entitlement 2,450
Actual Expenses 2,228

G03 Approval of Financial Statements

The financial statements were approved by the Acting Financial Controller and authorised to be issued on 30 April 2014.
Addendum to:
ANNEX F  Notes to the Budget Execution Statement – Operational Budget

This Addendum’s purpose is to present the cumulative NAGSMA Operational budget per year from 2012 to 2013 expended and carried forward in two currencies EUR and USD. The Budgets are presented below by currency showing the actual expenditures and carry forward as of the 31.12.2013. The Carry Forwards are further explained in Annex F.

### NAGSMA Operational Budget EUR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BL 6604011</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>137,794,865</td>
<td>71,807,934</td>
<td>65,986,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>244,881,277</td>
<td>178,786,131</td>
<td>66,095,146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NAGSMA Operational Budget USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BL 6604010</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual Expenses</th>
<th>Carry Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>204,865,340</td>
<td>203,155,941</td>
<td>1,709,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>238,445,262</td>
<td>144,568,412</td>
<td>93,876,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>443,310,602</td>
<td>347,724,353</td>
<td>95,586,249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to the Agency’s role as an agent acting with no control over the assets being procured, it is not accounting for the operational budget expenditures as an asset under construction. Rather, it is expected that the end-user, ACO, will account for the AGS Core System when the system will be transitioned to ACO.

Note to the table:
The Carry Forwards from the Financial Statements 2012 which have been expended in 2013 have been added to the Actual Expenses of 2012.